ITP-UH-13/98
hep-th/9805199

arXiv:hep-th/9805199v1 28 May 1998

The Linear Vector-Tensor Multiplet with Gauged Central Charge

Norbert Dragon and Ulrich Theis

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Hannover
Appelstraße 2, 30167 Hannover, Germany

Abstract

We derive consistent superfield constraints for the linear vector-tensor multiplet with gauged central charge. The central charge transformations and the action turn out to be nonpolynomial in the gauge field.

The $N = 2$ vector-tensor (VT) multiplet [1] is a combination of an $N = 1$ vector- and an $N = 1$ linear multiplet, and as such contains among its components a 1-form and a 2-form gauge field. Since its rediscovery by string theorists [2, 3], much effort has been made to derive consistent interactions both with itself [4, 5, 6] and with background $N = 2$ vector multiplets [4, 7, 8, 9]. In [4] the VT multiplet was coupled to an abelian vector multiplet that gauges the central charge of the $N = 2$ supersymmetry algebra, resulting in an interaction of three gauge fields.
Such interactions of higher degree gauge fields have recently been classified by cohomological means (outside the framework of supersymmetry) in [10]. As a particular example an interaction of two 1-forms and a 2-form was studied in [11] which turned out to be nonpolynomial and resembled the bosonic sector of the VT multiplet with gauged central charge.
In the present letter, we show that this model is indeed contained in the linear VT multiplet with gauged central charge, which is derived from a set of constraints on the basic superfield, thus providing the ground for a superspace formulation of the component results of [4]. Here we follow an approach already applied in [5, 6], where the nonlinear VT multiplet (with global central charge) was formulated in harmonic superspace, namely to determine consistent deformations of the flat superfield constraints.

Our considerations are based on the $N = 2$ supersymmetry algebra

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\mathcal{D}^i_\alpha\,,\,\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot\alpha j}\} &= -\mathrm{i}\delta^i_j\,\sigma^a_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\mathcal{D}_a & \qquad [\,\mathcal{D}_a\,,\,\mathcal{D}_b\,] &= F_{ab}\,\delta_z \\
\{\mathcal{D}^i_\alpha\,,\,\mathcal{D}^j_\beta\} &= \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\,\varepsilon^{ij}\bar{Z}\delta_z & \qquad [\,\mathcal{D}^i_\alpha\,,\,\mathcal{D}_a\,] &= \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(\sigma_a\bar{\lambda}^i)_\alpha\delta_z \\
\{\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot\alpha i}\,,\,\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot\beta j}\} &= \varepsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}\,\varepsilon_{ij}Z\delta_z & \qquad [\,\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot\alpha i}\,,\,\mathcal{D}_a\,] &= \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(\lambda_i\sigma_a)_{\dot\alpha}\delta_z\ .
\end{aligned} \qquad (1)$$

The real generator δ_z is central and commutes with all generators of the supersymmetry algebra,

$$\delta_z = {\delta_z}^* \,, \quad [\,\delta_z\,,\,\mathcal{D}^i_\alpha\,] = 0\,, \quad [\,\delta_z\,,\,\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot\alpha j}\,] = 0\,, \quad [\,\delta_z\,,\,\mathcal{D}_a\,] = 0\,. \tag{2}$$

$\mathcal{D}_a$ is the gauge covariant derivative

$$\mathcal{D}_a = \partial_a + A_a\delta_z \tag{3}$$

and F_{ab} the abelian field strength of the gauged central charge

$$F_{ab} = \partial_a A_b - \partial_b A_a\,. \tag{4}$$

The algebra (1) might as well be read as an algebra with a gauged abelian transformation and no central charge. However, the scalar field Z has to have a nonvanishing constant background value as we will see.
Z, $\bar{Z}$, $\lambda^i_{\underline{\alpha}}$ and A_a are component fields of the vector multiplet which is completed by an auxiliary triplet $Y^{ij} = Y^{ji} = (Y_{ij})^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^i_\alpha &= \mathcal{D}^i_\alpha Z\,, \quad \bar\lambda_{\dot\alpha i} = \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot\alpha i}\bar{Z}\,, \\ Y^{ij} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}^i\mathcal{D}^j Z = \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\mathcal{D}}^i\bar{\mathcal{D}}^j\bar{Z}\,, \\ F_{ab} &= \tfrac{1}{4}(\mathcal{D}^i\sigma_{ab}\mathcal{D}_i Z - \bar{\mathcal{D}}_i\bar\sigma_{ab}\bar{\mathcal{D}}^i\bar{Z})\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

They are tensor fields and carry no central charge. So they are unchanged by gauged central charge transformations s_z with a real gauge parameter $C(x)$ with arbitrary spacetime dependence. Under these transformations the vector field A_a is changed by the gradient of the gauge parameter,

$$s_z Z = 0\,, \quad s_z\lambda^i_{\underline{\alpha}} = 0\,, \quad s_z Y^{ij} = 0\,, \quad s_z A_a = -\partial_a C\,. \tag{6}$$

To construct invariant actions, we make use of the linear multiplet with gauged central charge as in [12]. One starts from a field

$$\mathcal{L}^{ij} = \mathcal{L}^{ji} = (\mathcal{L}_{ij})^* \tag{7}$$

satisfying the constraints

$$\mathcal{D}^{(i}_\alpha\mathcal{L}^{jk)} = 0 = \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{(i}_{\dot\alpha}\mathcal{L}^{jk)}\,. \tag{8}$$

It contains among its components a real vector $V^a = -\frac{i}{6}\mathcal{D}_i\sigma^a\bar{\mathcal{D}}_j\mathcal{L}^{ij}$, which is constrained by

$$\mathcal{D}_a V^a = \frac{1}{12}\delta_z\big[Z\mathcal{D}_i\mathcal{D}_j + 3Y_{ij} + 4\lambda_i\mathcal{D}_j\big]\mathcal{L}^{ij} + \text{h.c.} \tag{9}$$

From the identity

$$s_z(A_a V^a) = -(\partial_a C)V^a + A_a C\delta_z V^a = -\partial_a(CV^a) + C\mathcal{D}_a V^a$$

it follows immediately that

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{12}\big[Z\mathcal{D}_i\mathcal{D}_j + 3Y_{ij} + 4\lambda_i\mathcal{D}_j + \mathrm{i}A_a\mathcal{D}_i\sigma^a\bar{\mathcal{D}}_j\big]\mathcal{L}^{ij} + \text{h.c.} \tag{10}$$

is invariant under gauged central charge transformations upon integration over spacetime. This action is also $N = 2$ supersymmetric, as can be checked by explicit calculation. So once we have constructed a multiplet on which the algebra (1) is realized we try to construct a composite field $\mathcal{L}^{ij}$ which satisfies (8) and use (10) as the Lagrangian.

For the VT multiplet gauging the central charge is quite involved. This multiplet is obtained from a real scalar field L, which for ungauged central charge satisfies the constraints

$$L = L^*\,, \quad D^{(i}_\alpha \bar{D}^{j)}_{\dot\alpha} L = 0\,, \quad D^{(i}D^{j)}L = 0\,. \tag{11}$$

Simply replacing the flat derivatives D^i_α and $\bar{D}^j_{\dot\alpha}$ with gauge covariant derivatives $\mathcal{D}^i_\alpha$ and $\bar{\mathcal{D}}^j_{\dot\alpha}$ leads to inconsistencies that show up at a rather late stage in the process of evaluating the algebra on the multiplet components (see [13] for details). This problem can be avoided, however, by a suitable change of the above constraints. They must preserve the field content as compared to the case of ungauged central charge. A consistent set of constraints for the VT multiplet with gauged central charge is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{D}^{(i}_\alpha \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{j)}_{\dot\alpha} L = 0\,,\\
&\mathcal{D}^{(i}\mathcal{D}^{j)}L = \frac{2}{\bar{Z}-Z}\big(\mathcal{D}^{(i}Z\mathcal{D}^{j)}L + \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{(i}\bar{Z}\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{j)}L + \tfrac{1}{2}L\mathcal{D}^{(i}\mathcal{D}^{j)}Z\big)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Obviously, this requires the imaginary part of Z to have a nonvanishing background value. For $Z = \mathrm{i}$ the constraints reduce to the flat case, eq. (11).
Let us show how these constraints were obtained. We considered the following Ansatz, with the coefficients being arbitrary functions of Z and $\bar{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^{(i}_\alpha \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{j)}_{\dot\alpha} L &= a\,\mathcal{D}^{(i}_\alpha Z\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{j)}_{\dot\alpha} L + \bar{a}\,\mathcal{D}^{(i}_\alpha L\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{j)}_{\dot\alpha}\bar{Z} + bL\,\mathcal{D}^{(i}_\alpha Z\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{j)}_{\dot\alpha}\bar{Z}\,, \quad b \text{ real},\\
\mathcal{D}^{(i}\mathcal{D}^{j)}L &= A\,\mathcal{D}^{(i}Z\mathcal{D}^{j)}L + B\,\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{(i}\bar{Z}\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{j)}L + C\,L\,\mathcal{D}^{(i}\mathcal{D}^{j)}Z\\
&\qquad + DL\,\mathcal{D}^{(i}Z\mathcal{D}^{j)}Z + EL\,\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{(i}\bar{Z}\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{j)}\bar{Z}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

It is linear in the components of the VT multiplet and hence invariant under a rescaling of L with a constant parameter. The constraints have to satisfy the necessary consistency conditions

$$\mathcal{D}^{(i}_\alpha\mathcal{D}^j\mathcal{D}^{k)}L = 0\,, \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{(i}_{\dot\alpha}\mathcal{D}^j\mathcal{D}^{k)}L = \mathcal{D}^{(i}\mathcal{D}^j\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{k)}_{\dot\alpha}L\,, \tag{14}$$

which yield a system of differential equations for the coefficient functions. The first condition requires

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= C - \tfrac{1}{2}A \\
0 &= \partial_Z C - D - \tfrac{1}{2}AC \\
0 &= E + \bar{a}B \\
0 &= \partial_Z E - \tfrac{1}{2}AE + bB \\
0 &= \partial_Z B + B(a - \tfrac{1}{2}A) \\
0 &= \partial_Z A - \tfrac{1}{2}A^2 - 2D\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

while the second leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= C - \tfrac{1}{2}B - a \\
0 &= \partial_{\bar{Z}} C - E - \tfrac{1}{2}B\bar{C} - \bar{a}C - b \\
0 &= \partial_Z a + a(a - A) - D \\
0 &= \partial_{\bar{Z}} D - \partial_Z b - \tfrac{1}{2}B\bar{E} - \bar{a}D - b(a - A) \\
0 &= \partial_{\bar{Z}} A - \partial_Z \bar{a} - \tfrac{1}{2}B\bar{B} - a\bar{a} - b \\
0 &= \partial_{\bar{Z}} B - B(\bar{a} + \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{A}) - 2E\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

If we perform a more general rescaling $L = f(Z, \bar{Z})\,\hat{L}$ in (13), the coefficients transform as

$$\begin{gathered}
\hat{a} = a - \partial_Z f/f\,, \quad \hat{b} = (bf + a\partial_{\bar{Z}} f + \bar{a}\partial_Z f - \partial_Z\partial_{\bar{Z}} f)/f\,, \\
\hat{A} = A - 2\partial_Z f/f\,, \quad \hat{B} = B\,, \quad \hat{C} = C - \partial_Z f/f\,, \\
\hat{D} = (Df + A\partial_Z f - \partial_Z^2 f)/f\,, \quad \hat{E} = (Ef + B\partial_{\bar{Z}} f)/f\,.
\end{gathered} \tag{17}$$

We can choose f such that $\hat{a}$ vanishes, which simplifies the conditions (15) and (16) considerably, and obtain (omitting the hats)

$$2C = B = A\,, \quad a = b = D = E = 0\,, \tag{18}$$

where A has to satisfy the differential equations

$$\partial_Z A = \tfrac{1}{2}A^2\,, \quad \partial_{\bar{Z}} A = \tfrac{1}{2}A\bar{A}\,. \tag{19}$$

Their general (nonvanishing) solution is

$$A(Z, \bar{Z}) = \frac{2\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\varphi}}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\varphi}\bar{Z} - \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\varphi}Z + 2\mathrm{i}\,r}\,, \quad r, \varphi \in \mathbb{R}\,. \tag{20}$$

The parameters can be removed by a redefinition

$$Z \to \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\varphi}(Z + \mathrm{i}r)\,, \quad \mathcal{D}^i_\alpha \to \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\varphi/2}\mathcal{D}^i_\alpha\,, \tag{21}$$

which eventually leads to the constraints given in eq. (12). Of course, the conditions (14) do not guarantee the consistency of these constraints, we now have to carefully evaluate the algebra on each component field.
The linearity of the constraints (12) in L and its supersymmetry transformations implies that we will not encounter any self-interactions if $\mathcal{L}^{ij}$ is quadratic in L. To find constraints that underlie the nonlinear VT multiplet as described in [4], one has to start from a more general Ansatz.

We now investigate the consequences of the constraints (12). The independent components of the multiplet can be chosen as

$$\begin{gathered} L\,, \quad \psi^i_\alpha = \mathrm{i}\mathcal{D}^i_\alpha L\,, \quad \bar\psi_{\dot\alpha i} = -\mathrm{i}\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot\alpha i} L\,, \quad U = \delta_z L\,, \\ G_{\alpha\beta} = \tfrac{1}{2}[\,\mathcal{D}^i_\alpha\,,\,\mathcal{D}_{\beta i}\,]L\,, \quad \bar G_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} = \tfrac{1}{2}[\,\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{i\dot\alpha}\,,\,\bar{\mathcal{D}}^i_{\dot\beta}\,]L\,, \\ W_{\alpha\dot\alpha} = -\tfrac{1}{2}[\,\mathcal{D}^i_\alpha\,,\,\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot\alpha i}\,]L\,. \end{gathered} \tag{22}$$

In addition some abbreviations will prove useful in the following,

$$\begin{gathered} I = \operatorname{Im} Z\,, \quad R = \operatorname{Re} Z\,, \\ \Lambda_a = L\partial_a R + \tfrac{1}{2}(\lambda^i\sigma_a\bar\psi_i + \psi^i\sigma_a\bar\lambda_i)\,, \quad \Sigma_{ab} = LF_{ab} + \mathrm{i}(\lambda_i\sigma_{ab}\psi^i - \bar\psi_i\bar\sigma_{ab}\bar\lambda^i)\,. \end{gathered} \tag{23}$$

R, Λ_a and Σ_{ab} vanish in the case of a global central charge, i.e. when Z is reduced to its background value $Z = \mathrm{i}$.
From the algebra (1) we obtain the supersymmetry transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^i_\alpha\psi^j_\beta &= \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\varepsilon^{ij}(\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\bar Z U + \sigma^{ab}{}_{\alpha\beta}G_{ab}) + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2I}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}(\lambda^{(i}\psi^{j)} - \bar\lambda^{(i}\bar\psi^{j)} + \mathrm{i}Y^{ij}L)\,, \\ \bar{\mathcal{D}}^i_{\dot\alpha}\psi^j_\alpha &= \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ij}\sigma^a_{\alpha\dot\alpha}(\mathcal{D}_a L + \mathrm{i}W_a)\,, \\ \mathcal{D}^i_\alpha W_a &= \big(\mathrm{i}\bar Z\,\sigma_a\delta_z\bar\psi^i + \tfrac{1}{2}U\sigma_a\bar\lambda^i - \mathcal{D}_a\psi^i\big)_\alpha\,, \\ \mathcal{D}^i_\alpha G_{ab} &= \big(2I\,\sigma_{ab}\delta_z\psi^i + U\sigma_{ab}\lambda^i + \mathrm{i}\varepsilon_{abcd}\sigma^c\mathcal{D}^d\bar\psi^i\big)_\alpha\,, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

and the central charge transformations. These contain covariant derivatives which in turn again contain δ_z, eq. (3), so the transformations are given only implicitly. One could solve for δ_z of the fields, however at this stage it is more advantageous to use the manifestly covariant expressions (here a tilde denotes the dual of a 2-form, i.e. $\tilde G^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{abcd}G_{cd}$)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_z\psi^i = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{Z}\big(\sigma^a\mathcal{D}_a\bar\psi^i - \lambda^i U\big) &+ \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2ZI}\Big[\tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\bar Z\lambda^i U - Y^{ij}\psi_j + \mathrm{i}\sigma^a\bar\psi^i\partial_a\bar Z \\ &+ L\sigma^a\partial_a\bar\lambda^i + \tfrac{1}{2}(\mathcal{D}_a L - \mathrm{i}W_a)\sigma^a\bar\lambda^i - F_{ab}\sigma^{ab}\psi^i - \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}G_{ab}\sigma^{ab}\lambda^i \\ &- \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2I}\lambda_j(\lambda^{(i}\psi^{j)} - \bar\lambda^{(i}\bar\psi^{j)} + \mathrm{i}Y^{ij}L)\Big]\,, \\ \delta_z\big(IW_a - \Lambda_a\big) = \mathcal{D}^b G_{ab}\,, & \\ \delta_z\big(I\tilde G_{ab} + RG_{ab} + \Sigma_{ab}\big) = -\varepsilon_{abcd}\mathcal{D}^c W^d\,. & \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

As in the case of the ordinary VT multiplet, the vector W_a and the antisymmetric tensor G_{ab} are subject to some constraints. Their solvability is the final consistency check. Closure of the algebra requires

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^a\big(IW_a - \Lambda_a\big) &= \tfrac{1}{2}F_{ab}G^{ab}\,, \\ \mathcal{D}^a\big(I\tilde{G}_{ab} + RG_{ab} + \Sigma_{ab}\big) &= \tilde{F}_{bc}W^c\,. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

With the help of the central charge transformations we obtain from these covariant expressions the equations

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial^a\big(IW_a - G_{ab}A^b - \Lambda_a\big) = 0\,, \\ &\partial^a\big(I\tilde{G}_{ab} + RG_{ab} + \varepsilon_{abcd}A^cW^d + \Sigma_{ab}\big) = 0\,, \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

which identify the brackets as duals of the field strength of a 2-form B_{ab} and a 1-form V_a, respectively,

$$IW^a = \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{abcd}(\partial_b B_{cd} - A_b\tilde{G}_{cd}) + \Lambda^a\,, \tag{28}$$

$$I\tilde{G}_{ab} + RG_{ab} = \varepsilon_{abcd}(\partial^c V^d - A^c W^d) - \Sigma_{ab}\,. \tag{29}$$

We cannot read off W_a and G_{ab}, however, since the equations are coupled. Solving eq. (29) for G_{ab} and inserting this into eq. (28), we find

$$I(E\delta^a_b + A^aA_b)W^b = |Z|^2(H^a + T^{ab}A_b)\,, \tag{30}$$

where we used the abbreviations

$$\begin{aligned} E &= |Z|^2 - A^aA_a\,, \\ H^a &= \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{abcd}\,\partial_b B_{cd} + \Lambda^a\,, \\ T_{ab} &= \frac{I}{|Z|^2}\big(\partial_a V_b - \partial_b V_a + \tilde{\Sigma}_{ab}\big) + \frac{R}{|Z|^2}\big(\varepsilon_{abcd}\partial^c V^d - \Sigma_{ab}\big)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

The inverse of the matrix $(E\delta^a_b + A^aA_b)$ is

$$\frac{1}{E}(\delta^b_a - |Z|^{-2}A^bA_a)\,, \tag{32}$$

which gives

$$W^a = \frac{1}{IE}\big(|Z|^2H^a - A^aA_bH^b + |Z|^2T^{ab}A_b\big)\,, \tag{33}$$

and finally, from eq. (29),

$$G_{ab} = T_{ab} - \frac{2}{E}A_{[a}\big(H_{b]} + T_{b]c}A^c\big) - \frac{R}{IE}\varepsilon_{abcd}A^c\big(H^d + T^{de}A_e\big)\,. \tag{34}$$

These expressions are nonpolynomial in the gauge field A_a due to the appearance of E in the denominator. Although it appears as if they would transform inhomogeneously under the central charge transformation s_z, explicit calculation shows that

the gradient of the gauge parameter, eq. (6), cancels, so W_a and G_{ab} are indeed tensors as assumed from the beginning.
It remains to give the transformations of the potentials V_a and B_{ab}. As the brackets in (28) and (29) already indicate, the central charge transformations read

$$\delta_z V_a = -W_a\,, \quad \delta_z B_{ab} = -\tilde{G}_{ab}\,, \tag{35}$$

while the supersymmetry generators act as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^i_\alpha V_a &= \big(A_a\psi^i - \tfrac{1}{2}L\sigma_a\bar{\lambda}^i - \mathrm{i}\bar{Z}\sigma_a\bar{\psi}^i\big)_\alpha\,, \\ \mathcal{D}^i_\alpha B_{ab} &= -2\mathrm{i}\left(I\sigma_{ab}\psi^i + \tfrac{1}{2}L\sigma_{ab}\lambda^i + A_{[a}\sigma_{b]}\bar{\psi}^i\right)_\alpha\,. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Then the algebra closes up to gauge transformations of the form

$$V_a \to V_a + \partial_a\xi\,, \quad B_{ab} \to B_{ab} + \partial_a\xi_b - \partial_b\xi_a \tag{37}$$

when evaluated on the potentials.

Next, we construct a linear multiplet $\mathcal{L}^{ij}$. Given the constraints (12) on L it is easy to find a field with the required properties (note that $\mathcal{D}^{(i}\mathcal{D}^{j)}L$ is imaginary),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{ij} &= \mathrm{i}\big(\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{(i}L\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{j)}L - \mathcal{D}^{(i}L\mathcal{D}^{j)}L - L\mathcal{D}^{(i}\mathcal{D}^{j)}L\big) \\ &= \mathrm{i}(\psi^i\psi^j - \bar{\psi}^i\bar{\psi}^j) - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{I}L\big(\lambda^{(i}\psi^{j)} - \bar{\lambda}^{(i}\bar{\psi}^{j)} + \mathrm{i}Y^{ij}L\big)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Applying the rule (10) we eventually obtain the Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = {}& \tfrac{1}{2}I\big(\partial^a L\,\partial_a L - W^a W_a + (|Z|^2 - A^a A_a)U^2\big) - \tfrac{1}{2}L^2\partial^a\partial_a I \\ & - \tfrac{1}{4}G^{ab}\big(IG_{ab} - R\tilde{G}_{ab} + 4A_{[a}W_{b]}\big) - \frac{1}{4I}Y^{ij}Y_{ij}L^2 \\ & + \text{fermion terms}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

where we used the identities (27) to combine terms into a total derivative which was dropped thereafter. To compare with the action found in [11], we insert the expressions for W_a and G_{ab},

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = {}& \tfrac{1}{2}I\partial^a L\,\partial_a L - \tfrac{1}{2}L^2\partial^a\partial_a I + \tfrac{1}{2}IE\,U^2 - \frac{1}{4I}Y^{ij}Y_{ij}L^2 \\ & - \tfrac{1}{4}T^{ab}(IT_{ab} - R\tilde{T}_{ab}) - \frac{|Z|^2}{2IE}(H^a + T^{ab}A_b)^2 + \frac{1}{2IE}(A_a H^a)^2 \\ & + \text{fermion terms}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

With L and U set to zero and $Z = \mathrm{i}$, which breaks supersymmetry but keeps central charge invariance, the bosonic Lagrangian indeed coincides with the one given in ref. [11]:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}T^{ab}T_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{(H^a + T^{ac}A_c)^2}{1 - A^b A_b} + \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{(A_a H^a)^2}{1 - A^b A_b} - \frac{1}{4g^2}F^{ab}F_{ab}\,, \tag{41}$$

where now $H^a = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{abcd}\partial_b B_{cd}$ and $T_{ab} = \partial_a V_b - \partial_b V_a$, and a kinetic term for A_a has been added. The second term contains a vertex involving all three gauge fields A_a, V_a and B_{ab}, which has been identified as a Freedman-Townsend coupling in [10].

Acknowledgments
We thank F. Brandt, P. Fayet and S. Kuzenko for stimulating and enjoyable discussions.

References

[1] M.F. Sohnius, K.S. Stelle and P.C. West, Phys. Lett. B 92 (1980) 123.

[2] B. de Wit, V. Kaplunovsky, J. Louis and D. Lüst, Nucl. Phys. B 451 (1995) 53.

[3] R. Siebelink, *The low-energy effective action for perturbative heterotic strings on* $K3 \times T^2$ *and the d=4 N=2 vector-tensor multiplet.* hep-th/9709129.

[4] P. Claus, B. de Wit, M. Faux, B. Kleijn, R. Siebelink and P. Termonia, Phys. Lett. B 373 (1996) 81;

P. Claus, B. de Wit, M. Faux and P. Termonia, Nucl. Phys. B 491 (1997) 201.

[5] N. Dragon and S. Kuzenko, Phys. Lett. B 420 (1998) 64.

[6] E. Ivanov and E. Sokatchev, *On non-linear superfield versions of the vector-tensor multiplet.* hep-th/9711038.

[7] R. Grimm, M. Hasler and C. Herrmann, *The N=2 vector-tensor multiplet, central charge superspace and Chern-Simons couplings.* hep-th/9706108.

[8] I. Buchbinder, A. Hindawi and B.A. Ovrut, Phys. Lett. B 413 (1997) 79.

[9] N. Dragon, S. Kuzenko and U. Theis, *The vector-tensor multiplet in harmonic superspace.* Eur. Phys. J. C (in press), hep-th/9706169.

[10] M. Henneaux and B. Knaepen, Phys. Rev. D 56 (1997) 6076.

[11] F. Brandt and N. Dragon, *Nonpolynomial gauge invariant interactions of 1-form and 2-form gauge potentials.* hep-th/9709021.

[12] B. de Wit, J.W. van Holten and A. van Proeyen, Phys. Lett. B 95 (1980) 51.

[13] N. Dragon, E. Ivanov, S. Kuzenko, E. Sokatchev and U. Theis, *N=2 rigid supersymmetry with gauged central charge.* hep-th/9805152.